




stabilization on scene, the patient was transferred to the emergency department (E.D.). The SGA was removed, the patient was intubated endotracheally, and a nasogastric tube was inserted. Despite the placement of a nasogastric tube, the abdomen remained distended and tympanic to percussion. Chest X-ray and Computed tomography (CT) examination of the abdomen confirmed a massive pneumoperitoneum. (Figures 1 and 2)

We decided to abstain from explorative laparoscopy. The abdominal surgeon inserted an abdominal drain (Cystofix®, B. Braun Medical, Diegem, Belgium)



**Figure 1.** Transverse image of CT abdomen: massive dilatation of the abdomen and pneumoperitoneum. No apparent free fluid, no perforation or contrast extravasation of the stomach could be detected.



**Figure 2.** Sagittal image of the CT abdomen: the extent of peritoneum and elevation of the diaphragm, causing increased inspiratory driving pressure and decreased ventilation volumes.

infra-umbilical; subsequently, the abdomen deflated rapidly.

The patient remained sedated and mechanically ventilated for the next 24 hours in the Intensive Care Unit. Antibiotics (amoxicillin-clavulanic acid) and high-dose proton pump inhibitors (pantoprazole) were started.

The next day, a fluoroscopy of the upper digestive tract, using 500 ml of contrast dye (Telebrix® - joxitalamaat 300mg/1ml) via the nasogastric tube, showed no leakage. Sedation was stopped, and the patient was weaned from the ventilator. She regained consciousness and made a full recovery without any neurological sequelae. On day 4, the abdomen was no longer distended nor tender to touch. There was no residual pneumoperitoneum on a control CT abdomen. Gastroscopy excluded a significant lesion, showing only a hiatus hernia and mucosal injury of the posterior portion of the corpus of the stomach. Based on these results, the intraperitoneal drain and nasogastric tube were removed. Oral feeding, using liquids first, was reintroduced. No further therapeutic interventions were necessary, and the patient could be discharged home after 9 days of hospitalization. Pantoprazole 40 mg by mouth twice daily was continued for 8 weeks. At consultation a few weeks later the patient showed no signs of complication (Table 1).

## Discussion

The overall incidence of CPR-related complications ranges from 21% to 65% [3-5]. Abdominal complications can be observed in up to 30% of all cases. Gastric distention during mouth-to-mouth or bag-valve mask ventilation is very common and can be found in 29% of cases [5]. Gastric mucosal injuries occur in 9% to 12% of the patients who receive CPR [3,4,6-9]. Gastric rupture, however, is a rare complication with an incidence of less than 1% [10,11].

Gastric rupture can cause additional morbidity due to its potential to cause pneumoperitoneum, peritonitis, and septic shock [6]. It is commonly caused by excessive intragastric pressure and external chest compressions or difficult airway management (failure to intubate, esophageal intubation, blocked upper airway, forceful or prolonged bag-valve mask or mouth-to-mouth ventilation) [3,6,9,12,13]. Pneumoperitoneum can result in tension pneumoperitoneum compressing the inferior vena cava, decreasing venous return, and thereby compromising the hemodynamic stability of the patient. Moreover the diaphragm can be displaced upward, increasing intrathoracic pressure and thereby impairing ventilation. Pneumoperitoneum during CPR is commonly caused by gastric rupture as a result of excessive intragastric pressure and external chest compressions. Most cases are associated with difficult airway management: failure to intubate, esophageal intubation, blocked upper airway, forceful or prolonged bag-valve mask or mouth-to-mouth ventilation

**Table 1.** Clinical timeline summarizing important timeframe, associated major interventions and events.

Timetable	Procedure	events
First contact - discovery by partner	Start BLS	Asystole due to asphyxiation
Arrival ambulance	Start ALS Removal of dental prosthesis	Inflation of the abdomen due to airway obstruction
Arrival Medical Emergency team	ALS Placement IV and IV adrenaline Placement SLA	ROSC - persisted dilatation of the abdomen
Arrival at E.D.	Removal of SLA and endotracheal intubation Placement of nasogastric probe CT scan abdomen	Improvement of ventilation, no deflation of the abdomen Discovery of massive pneumoperitoneum
Intensive care unit	Placement abdominal drain Post-reanimation care Re-imaging and gastroscopy Weaning and removal of the drain	Deflation of the abdomen Full recovery

Furthermore, active compression/decompression devices and the Heimlich maneuver have been associated with gastric perforation [6,11]. Note that CPR-related pneumoperitoneum can also be caused by pulmonary barotrauma and air displacement through the diaphragmatic hiatus [7,9].

Gastric perforation can occur when the intraluminal pressure reaches 120-150 mmHg, which corresponds to an intragastric volume of approximately 4 l [3,4,6,9]. In case of a mechanical obstruction or distortion of the airway, the resistance to airflow of the esophagus becomes less than that of the glottis. If positive pressure during bag mask ventilation exceeds the closing pressure of the upper esophageal sphincter (approximately 20 mmHg) air can be forced into the stomach. As a result, air is forced into the stomach. Mechanical obstruction, vigorous ventilation, tracheal or oropharyngeal injury, and alteration of the gastro-esophageal junction acting as a mechanical valve may prevent air from leaving the stomach as it becomes more and more dilated [3,6,11]. Most cases of gastric perforation after CPR occur at the small curvature of the stomach, usually close to the gastro-esophageal junction, where the stomach wall is least elastic. In this area, there are fewer mucosal folds, and the stomach is fixed by the hepatogastric ligament [4,6,7,8,9,12].

Symptoms in the conscious patient are abdominal pain, a distended and tympanic abdomen, and sometimes hematemesis. In the unconscious patient, the abdomen is tympanic and distended. In a CPR setting, the distention may be rapidly progressive. Persistence of abdominal distention and bloody aspirate after insertion of a nasogastric tube should raise suspicion of gastric perforation, especially in cases with known difficult airway management [3,4,6].

Pneumoperitoneum is a clinical diagnosis. Free intra-abdominal air can be confirmed on abdominal sonography, chest X-ray, preferably performed in a sitting position, or CT scan [3,4,6,7]. POCUS of the abdomen can be a fast way to discriminate fluid from air in the ED.

Other diagnostic examinations, such as contrast X-ray studies, endoscopy, and surgery, can be useful in confirming the diagnosis, detecting the location of perforation, and guiding causal treatment. However, the site of perforation cannot always be clearly identified by fluoroscopy of the upper digestive tract, gastroscopy, or surgery [6].

Distention of the abdomen during CPR is treated urgently by insertion of a nasogastric tube to prevent the development of gastric rupture and subsequent (tension) pneumoperitoneum. If this measure fails to decompress the abdomen, a 14-G cannula can be inserted just below the umbilicus to deflate the abdomen (9). The most common treatment of gastric perforation was surgical debridement and primary closure of the perforation either by laparotomy or laparoscopy [13,14]. A more recent case report with a comprehensive oversight of all published cases showed an increasing occurrence of conservative management [12]. Prophylactic antibiotic therapy and antacids should be started early to prevent peritonitis. Fluoroscopy of the upper digestive tract and gastroscopy can be performed in a second stage to locate the source of the lesion or perforation. In the absence of signs of peritonitis, we would advise against explorative surgery and propose a conservative approach (watchful waiting) [4,9,12].

Gastric distention and consequential gastric rupture and pneumoperitoneum during CPR can be and should be prevented. Correct airway management is essential: correct positioning of the jaw, air entry and exit by observing chest movements, avoidance of excessive ventilation volumes and high insufflation pressure, and checking the upper airway for obstruction if adequate ventilation is not possible. The use of ventilation airway adjuncts (oropharyngeal or nasopharyngeal) should be considered. If these do not help in obtaining good bag-valve mask ventilation and the care provider is proficiently trained, the patient should be intubated. After confirmation of correct endotracheal intubation (capnography, auscultation, and



direct visualization of vocal cord passage), an oro- or nasogastric tube should be placed.

## Conclusion

Early recognition of abdominal distention during resuscitation should prompt consideration of gastric perforation. A high index of suspicion for gastric perforation is required if airway management is difficult and abdominal distention develops during resuscitation. In the event of gastric perforation, early diagnosis and management are of paramount importance to prevent further complications. Conservative management may be appropriate in stable patients with limited perforation and in the absence of signs of peritonitis.

## What is new?

Gastric perforation is a rare complication during resuscitation. The mainstay treatment after ROSC was laparotomy. Early treatment with a percutaneous abdominal drain without previous surgery can be performed if imaging shows no apparent intra-abdominal lesions and in the absence of signs of peritonitis.

## List of Abbreviations

ALS	Advanced Life Support
BLS	Basic Life Support
CPR	Cardiopulmonary resuscitation
CT	Computed tomography
E.D.	emergency department
IV	Intravenous
mg	Milligram
POCUS	Point of care ultrasound
ROSC	Return of spontaneous circulation
SGA	Supraglottic airway

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this case report.

## Funding

None.

## Informed Consent

Written consent was obtained from the patient.

## Ethical approval

Ethical approval was obtained from our hospital's Ethics Committee and is available on request.

## Author details

Pieter-Jan Moonen<sup>1</sup>, Marc Vanhoof<sup>2</sup>, Eva Boonen<sup>2</sup>, Filiep Soetens<sup>2</sup>

1. Department of Emergency Medicine, Turnhout, Belgium
2. Department of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Turnhout, Belgium

## References

1. Soar J, Böttiger BW, Carli P, Couper K, Deakin CD, Djäv T, et al. European Resuscitation Council Guidelines 2021: adult advanced life support. *Resuscitation*. 2021;161:115–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2021.02.010>
2. Beom JH, You JS, Kim MJ, Seung MK, Park YS, Chung HS, et al. Investigation of complications secondary to chest compressions before and after the 2010 cardiopulmonary resuscitation guideline changes by using multi-detector computed tomography: a retrospective study. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med*. 2017;25(1):8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13049-017-0352-6>
3. Afacan MA, Colak S, Gunes H, Kandis H, Saritas A, Cortuk M, et al. An unusual complication of cardiopulmonary resuscitation: stomach perforation. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2014;32:1149. e1–3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2014.02.027>
4. Jalali SM, Emami-Razavi H, Mansouri A. Gastric perforation after cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2012;30(9):2091.e1–2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2011.12.032>
5. Krischer JP, Fine EG, Davis JH, Nagel EL. Complications of cardiac resuscitation. *Chest*. 1987;92(2):287–91. <https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.92.2.287>
6. Spoormans I, Van Hoorenbeeck K, Balliu L, Jorens PG. Gastric perforation after cardiopulmonary resuscitation: review of the literature. *Resuscitation*. 2010;81(3):272–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2009.11.023>
7. Hahn CD, Choi YU, Lee D, Frizzi JD. Pneumoperitoneum due to gastric perforation after cardiopulmonary resuscitation: case report. *Am J Crit Care*. 2008;17(4):388–90. <https://doi.org/10.4037/ajcc2008.17.4.388>
8. Oh CM, Hewitt PM. Gastric rupture due to cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Injury*. 1998;29(5):399–400. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-1383\(98\)80028-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-1383(98)80028-4)
9. Offerman SR, Holmes JF, Wisner DH. Gastric rupture and massive pneumoperitoneum after bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *J Emerg Med*. 2001;21(2):137–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0736-4679\(01\)00357-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0736-4679(01)00357-2)
10. Buschmann CT, Tsokos M. Frequent and rare complications of resuscitation attempts. *Intensive Care Med*. 2009;35(3):397–404. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-008-1255-9>
11. Reiger J, Eritscher C, Laubreiter K, Trattinig J, Sterz F, Grimm G. Gastric rupture—an uncommon complication after successful cardiopulmonary resuscitation: report of two cases. *Resuscitation*. 1997;35(2):175–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-9572\(97\)00050-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0300-9572(97)00050-6)
12. Arai Yosuke, Honjo Soichiro, Shimizu Syota et al. Traumatic gastric perforation associated with cardiopulmonary resuscitation: a case report. *YONAGO: Tottori University Medical Press. Yonago Acta Medica* 2017;60 (3):204–8. <https://doi.org/10.33160/yam.2017.09.011>
13. Habibullah Naheed, Soomar Salman Muhammad, Ali, Noman. Pneumoperitoneum following cardiopulmonary resuscitation: an unusual case. *Int J Surg Case Rep* 2022;99:107649. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijscr.2022.107649>
14. Song JK, Stern EJ, Beaty CD. Gastric perforation: a complication of inadvertent esophageal intubation. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 1995;164(6):1386. <https://doi.org/10.2214/ajr.164.6.7754878>

**Summary of case**

1	Patient (gender, age)	53 years, female
2	Final diagnosis	Massive pneumoperitoneum due to gastric rupture
3	Symptoms	Massive dilation of the abdomen
4	Medications	Pantoprazole, amoxicillin-clavulanic acid
5	Clinical procedure	Percutaneous drainage was inserted infra-umbilical
6	Specialty	Emergency medicine - anesthesiology